Fluidic Microactuation of Flexible Electrodes for Neural Recording
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ABSTRACT: Soft and conductive nanomaterials like carbon nanotubes, graphene, and nanowire scaffolds have expanded the family of ultraflexible microelectrodes that can bend and flex with the natural movement of the brain, reduce the inflammatory response, and improve the stability of long-term neural recordings. However, current methods to implant these highly flexible electrodes rely on temporary stiffening agents that temporarily increase the electrode size and stiffness thus aggravating neural damage during implantation, which can lead to cell loss and glial activation that persists even after the stiffening agents are removed or dissolve. A method to deliver thin, ultraflexible electrodes deep into neural tissue without increasing the stiffness or size of the electrodes will enable minimally invasive electrical recordings from within the brain. Here we show that specially designed microfluidic devices can apply a tension force to ultraflexible electrodes that prevents buckling without increasing the thickness or stiffness of the electrode during implantation. Additionally, these “fluidic microdrives” allow us to precisely actuate the electrode position with micron-scale accuracy. To demonstrate the efficacy of our fluidic microdrives, we used them to actuate highly flexible carbon nanotube fiber (CNTf) microelectrodes for electrophysiology. We used this approach in three proof-of-concept experiments. First, we recorded compound action potentials in a soft model organism, the small cnidarian Hydra. Second, we targeted electrodes precisely to the thalamic reticular nucleus in brain slices and recorded spontaneous and optogenetically evoked extracellular action potentials. Finally, we inserted electrodes more than 4 mm deep into the brain of rats and detected spontaneous individual unit activity in both cortical and subcortical regions. Compared to syringe injection, fluidic microdrives do not penetrate the brain and prevent changes in intracranial pressure by diverting fluid away from the implantation site during insertion and actuation. Overall, the fluidic microdrive technology provides a robust new method to implant and actuate ultraflexible neural electrodes.
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C hronically implanting electrodes enables measurements of the spiking activity of individual neurons in freely behaving animals, which illuminate the neural processes underlying learning, movement, perception, and cognition in healthy and diseased states. Over the past few years these chronically implanted microelectrodes have led to breakthrough discoveries and technological innovations, including control of robotic end effectors,1,2 restoration of the cortical control of the upper limbs,3 discovery of fundamental mechanisms underlying cognitive processes,4,5 and identification of unique neural firing patterns associated with epileptic activity.6–8

Despite their foundational role in systems neuroscience and brain-machine interfaces, existing microelectrode technologies have significant challenges. Electrodes have traditionally been manufactured using metals or micromachined silicon. The ~100-fold stiffness mismatch between the electrode material and the soft host brain tissue causes acute and chronic injury that results in extensive neuronal death, formation of gliotic scars, and inflammation.9–12 Soft and conductive nanomaterials like carbon nanotubes, graphene, and nanowire scaffolds have expanded the family of ultraflexible microelectrodes that can bend and flex with the natural movement of the brain, reduce the inflammatory response, and improve the stability of long-term neural recordings. However, current methods to implant these highly flexible electrodes rely on temporary stiffening agents that temporarily increase the electrode size and stiffness thus aggravating neural damage during implantation, which can lead to cell loss and glial activation that persists even after the stiffening agents are removed or dissolve. A method to deliver thin, ultraflexible electrodes deep into neural tissue without increasing the stiffness or size of the electrodes will enable minimally invasive electrical recordings from within the brain. Here we show that specially designed microfluidic devices can apply a tension force to ultraflexible electrodes that prevents buckling without increasing the thickness or stiffness of the electrode during implantation. Additionally, these “fluidic microdrives” allow us to precisely actuate the electrode position with micron-scale accuracy. To demonstrate the efficacy of our fluidic microdrives, we used them to actuate highly flexible carbon nanotube fiber (CNTf) microelectrodes for electrophysiology. We used this approach in three proof-of-concept experiments. First, we recorded compound action potentials in a soft model organism, the small cnidarian Hydra. Second, we targeted electrodes precisely to the thalamic reticular nucleus in brain slices and recorded spontaneous and optogenetically evoked extracellular action potentials. Finally, we inserted electrodes more than 4 mm deep into the brain of rats and detected spontaneous individual unit activity in both cortical and subcortical regions. Compared to syringe injection, fluidic microdrives do not penetrate the brain and prevent changes in intracranial pressure by diverting fluid away from the implantation site during insertion and actuation. Overall, the fluidic microdrive technology provides a robust new method to implant and actuate ultraflexible neural electrodes.
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encapsulation and isolation of the recording sites from the neuronal bodies. Moreover, relative micromotion between the tissue and the implant can exacerbate the strain-induced inflammatory reaction and cause the recording site to drift from its original position. Thus, creating electrodes from highly flexible materials holds great promise for improving the electrode−brain interface.

In the past decade, several advances in the synthesis and characterization of several families of nanoscale building blocks, including nanoparticles, nanotubes, nanorods, two-dimensional nanosheets, and the recognition of unique structure−property relationships distinct from their bulk counterparts, have led to new classes of ultraflexible neural electrodes. Nanoscale carbon allotropes, in particular, have attracted increasing attention due to their unique combination of electrical conductivity, mass-specific surface area, high mechanical strength, magnetic resonance safety, and chemical stability. Recent works have demonstrated thin film, graphene-based optoelectronic interfaces for simultaneous imaging and electrophysiology, and the potential of reduced graphene oxide and carbon nanotubes for improving impedance and charge delivery characteristics of soft, free-standing neural implants.

Recently, we demonstrated that soft, high-performance CNTF microelectrodes fabricated from wet-spinning of a liquid crystalline dispersion of CNT in chlorosulfonic acid have ∼8 times lower area-normalized interface impedance than carbon fibers (CNTF 17.44 MΩ μm², carbon fibers 144.09 MΩ μm²) and are effective for neuromodulation and chronic recording.

Figure 1. Device layout and microfluidic-assisted insertion of flexible CNTF microelectrodes in vitro. (a) Schematic of the two-layer PDMS microfluidic device. Microelectrodes are placed and aligned manually inside the channel (top). The device is then inverted and bonded to a glass substrate (bottom). Push-down actuation valves (green) provide on-chip flow control. (b) False-colored SEM image of a 12 μm diameter microelectrode inside the PDMS channel. (c) Velocity field and flow pathlines in the microfluidic device. More than 93% of the total volume of fluid injected is deviated to the side venting ports, which minimizes the amount of fluid delivered to the outlet channel. (d) Microfluidic-assisted insertion of 12 μm microelectrode in a brain phantom: the drag force produced by the fluid drives the fiber 4.5 mm into the phantom without evidence of bending. When mechanical insertion is attempted (e), the microelectrode irreversibly buckles upon contact with the agarose surface and does not penetrate inside the phantom. (f) Microscope images of the microelectrode position in an agar tissue phantom at times corresponding to panel g. Dashed lines, spaced approximately 15 μm, indicate the position of the fiber end. (g) Stepwise electrode insertion (blue trace) is controlled by opening the flow control valve for 100 ms intervals (red trace). The average fiber displacement during the open valve period is 16.4 ± 6.4 μm (mean ± s.d., n = 43 steps, 2 trials). Positions labeled f1−f4 refer to the images shown in panel f.
applications, while eliciting minimal foreign body reaction when compared to metal implants.42

The major drawback of these novel compliant materials lies in the surgical implantation: when the unsupported length of the electrodes is longer than a critical limit (typically order 1–2 mm for state of the art electrodes)43,44 they buckle rather than enter the brain tissue. This challenge has been solved by temporarily increasing the stiffness of the electrode.45 For example, flexible electrodes can be stiffened through attachment to a rigid probe during insertion46 or by overcoating with a hydrogel sheath47 that dissolves minutes after implantation. However, the increased rigidity and footprint of the stiffened electrode assembly can aggravate the acute and chronic injury, damaging or destroying nearby neurons and breaching the blood brain barrier.42,50,51 Recent works17,52 demonstrated that macroporous three-dimensional (3D) mesh electrodes with cellular-scale feature sizes (~20 μm) and mechanical flexibility 10\(^2\) times greater than conventional silicon greatly improve the integration of neural electrodes with brain tissue and are capable of intraoperative and chronic recordings in vivo. However, to implant these devices a rigid syringe with a diameter of 650 μm must be inserted into the cortex.17,52,53

A new technology to insert and precisely position flexible, cellular-scale electrodes without temporary stiffening would significantly improve the robustness and utility of flexible electrodes while minimizing excess damage to the brain from stiffeners. To reach this goal, we developed a novel strategy to implant and microactuate flexible microelectrodes without using external supports or stiffening agents. Instead, we use viscous fluid flow in a microfluidic channel to maintain tension in the electrode structure, effectively stiffening it without increasing the implant footprint. Using microfluidic vent channels, we can then divert nearly all the fluid away from the point of electrode insertion. The result is a fluidic microdrive technology which enables the accurately controlled insertion of flexible electrodes. As a proof-of-concept, we demonstrate electrophysiological recordings from ultraflexible cellular-scale electrodes following fluidic implantation into small organisms, acute mouse brain slices, and the brain of anesthetized rats.

Cellular-scale CNTf microwires are promising neural electrodes for stimulation and recording57 whose flexibility presents a significant challenge for neural implantation. For this study, we used CNTf microwires with diameter between 12 and 25 μm insulated with either a conformal bilayer of 50 nm Al₂O₃ and 25 nm of HfO₂54 or 1.2 to 3.3 μm of parylene C (Supporting Information (SI) Figure S1). After the insulation step, we measured the bending stiffness of the parylene-coated CNTf microelectrodes to be 0.23 × 10⁻⁹ Nm² for the 12 μm diameter probes (postinsulation diameter 18.3 ± 0.7 μm, mean ± s.d., n = 3) and 1.08 × 10⁻⁹ Nm² for the 25 μm diameter probes (postinsulation diameter 28.9 ± 0.6 μm, n = 3), which is comparable to the flexibility of polyimide probes that have been shown to require stiffening supports for successful surgical implantation55 (SI Figure S3). As the penetration of water and ions through the insulating coatings is one of the major causes leading to the failure of chronic neural implants and it is still an active area of investigation,56,57 we performed leakage current and impedance spectroscopy measurements before and after 90° bending the parylene-coated CNTf prior to exposing the electrode recording site and found no evidence of acute breakdown of the insulating layer (SI Figure S2). To expose the conductive CNTf core at the ends of the electrode, we cut the electrode using a razor blade or focused ion beam (FIB).

We fabricated fluidic microdrives from two layers of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) using conventional replica-molding techniques.58 As shown in Figure 1, fluid flows through the channel containing the electrode but is diverted away from the implantation site to minimize tissue damage. We manually placed CNTf microelectrodes in the center of the channel before plasma bonding the channels to a glass substrate. After the PDMS device was bonded, plastic tubing was plugged into the flow ports that connect the device to the flow control system.

The liquid flowing in the microfluidic channel exerts a viscous drag force on the microelectrode due to the velocity differential that distributes the force applied to the fiber and holds it under tension. Finite element simulations show that the force at which the CNTf electrode buckles (the critical buckling load) increases by approximately 3 times when the load is distributed along the probe rather than applied to a fixed point near the rear of the electrode. Thus, compared to traditional insertion strategies that concentrate the load on a single point, we expect that our microfluidic devices will allow us to apply more force to the electrodes without causing buckling. Because this increase in the critical buckling force is the result of distributing the load, the enhancement factor is independent of the electrode material. Changes to the load distribution profile could further increase the critical buckling load. For example, if the profile of the distributed load is no longer homogeneous but instead increases linearly or quadratically along the probe length, we expect an increase in the critical buckling force by a factor between 16 and 30.59

We first tested our microdrive using an agarose brain phantom. The combined effect of the tension on the fiber and the confinement in the microfluidic channel allowed us to drive a parylene-coated 12 μm diameter CNTf more than 4.5 mm deep into a brain phantom, (Figure 1d, SI Video M1). In contrast, all of our attempts (n = 4 fibers) to implant 12 μm diameter CNTfs without the fluidic microdrive resulted in buckling upon contact with the phantom surface (Figure 1e, SI Video M2). This buckling was observed despite using manually actuated translation stages to guide straight and vertical entry into the phantom.

A major advantage of our fluidic microdrives compared to traditional syringe injection is the fact that we can minimize the volume of fluid injected into the brain and thereby reduce any risk of overpressure that could produce trauma to the brain tissue. By creating a large hydraulic resistance through the exit channel, we can divert the fluid flow into microfluidic vent channels that safely transport the fluid away from the injection site. Because the hydraulic resistance depends inversely as the third power of the channel cross sectional area,60,61 we created three main sections of our device where we adjusted the channel width to control the fluidic resistances: a wide, low-resistance upstream channel connected to the flow input port converging into a high-resistance outlet channel for microelectrode delivery and two low-resistance side ports. Details on the two channel geometries used in this work are reported in Supporting Information (SI Figure S10).

Computational analysis of the flow field in the device (Figure 1b) shows that the area of maximum flow velocity is concentrated in the converging nozzle and that the venting ports divert more than 93% of the input volume with the remainder of the fluid flowing downstream to the exit channel.
Note that these relative fluid flow rates are computed in the absence of the electrode. With an electrode blocking much of the exit channel we expect the fluidic resistance to be higher and even less fluid to be ejected with the electrode. The hydraulic design and the control of the on-chip valves enable actuation and positioning of the CNTf. In the microfluidic channel, we can control the fiber velocity and direction by controlling the fluid flow rate. As expected, larger flow rates corresponded to more rapid microelectrode motion (SI Figure S5 and Video M3). Targeting of specific brain regions often requires insertion of electrodes to a defined depth. Moreover, recording individual neurons in vivo often requires even more precise control to place microelectrodes into the proximity of neuronal cell body. Thus, we explored the ability to microactuate the electrode using on-chip actuation valves. In an agar tissue phantom, we observed that opening the microfluidic actuation valves at 100 ms intervals allowed us to reliably advance a CNTf with 25 μm in diameter with a step size of 16.4 ± 6.4 μm (n = 43 steps, two trials, Figure 1f,g).

As an example of the fluidic microdrive implementation, we performed electrophysiological measurements in the freshwater cnidarian Hydra (H. littoralis). Hydra are a compelling model organism because they are easily cultured in the laboratory and are known to generate compound action potentials that correspond to body contractions (contraction bursts, CBs) but their soft, deformable body makes them exceedingly challenging for conventional electrophysiology. To interrogate Hydra, we modified our microfluidic system to control the position of the animal body. In our experimental setup depicted in Figure 2a, the working Ag/AgCl electrode is connected to the microfluidic device and makes electrical contact to a microelectrode with 12 μm in diameter through the conductive Dextran solution (dextran in PBS, 40% w/w) filling the channels, yielding a final impedance of 663.7 ± 127.0 kΩ (mean ± s.d., n = 3 devices). Throughout the experiment, we held the Hydra approximately 3 mm downstream from the microdrive exit channel by applying moderate negative pressure to trap channels located in a separate PDMS block (Figure 2b). The pressure applied by these channels was sufficient to prevent the
animal from moving along the chamber but allowed the Hydra to contract, elongate, and nod. It is worth noting that despite the low levels of negative pressure used for trapping, we observed that some of the Hydra tissue was dissociated and pulled through the trapping channels. While this damage did not prevent stereotypical contractions and elongations during roughly 1 h of immobilization, improved immobilization chambers may be necessary for longer experiments.

By controlling the fluid flow and the on-chip valve actuation system, we were able to bring the microelectrode into contact with Hydra (n = 3 animals) and record compound action potentials. When the CNTf microelectrode was retracted into the fluidic device we recorded no electrophysiological activity even during body contractions (Figure 2c); however, when we used the microfluidic device to position the microelectrode approximately 50 µm from the Hydra body, we detected small-amplitude spikes (∼120 µV) that corresponded to body contractions (Figure 2d,e and SI Video M4). No activity was observed during body elongation and nodding. Next, we inserted the microelectrode into the Hydra and recorded spikes with much larger amplitudes (4.5–6 mV, Figure 2f,g) correlated with body contractions. These large-amplitude spikes (a.k.a. contraction bursts, CB) are believed to originate from a nerve ring located at the junction of the tentacles and the body column. CBs correspond to synchronous neural and muscular activity, and the electrical recordings are likely a summation of this activity.63 These spikes are consistent with previously reported CBs,64 confirming that our fluidic-actuated CNTf microelectrodes act as microactuated flexible electrodes for electrophysiological recordings. In addition to large amplitude spikes, we also recorded low-amplitude spikes (50–150 µV, Figure 2g) that did not correlate with body contractions. These low-amplitude potentials are likely due to other behaviors such as elongation or tentacle contractions, which are known to correspond to small-amplitude spikes.64

Figure 3. Microfluidic actuation and interrogation of CNS neural circuits in brain slices. (a) Schematic of thalamocortical section of a mouse brain depicting the two regions interrogated: cortex and thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN). (b) Sequence of CNTf microelectrode insertion into neocortex. (c) Cortical activity recorded by the microelectrode in cortex (n = 3 slices, one slice per animal) (top). Automatic detection algorithms isolated action potentials from individual neurons, shown temporally aligned and averaged (bottom). (d) Schematic showing ChR2 expressing cholinergic synaptic afferents in ChAT−/−ChR2−/−EYFP mouse line (green) targeting TRN but not the adjacent ventrobasal (VB) thalamus or internal capsule (IC). (e,f) Stimulation of cholinergic afferents with (5 ms) single laser pulses (blue horizontal line, F, top) triggers action potential activity specifically in TRN neurons. Spikes in the voltage recording during the optical stimulus (f) is an artifact produced by illuminating the microelectrode. When the microelectrode was positioned immediately above the TRN (e, top) no laser-evoked activity was recorded (l, top). Once the microelectrode was positioned within the TRN (e, middle), we recorded laser-evoked responses (highlighted by gray area) approximately 30 ms (dashed line) after stimulation. By inserting the microelectrode further, we could position the electrode in the VB thalamus (e, bottom), where no laser-evoked activity was detected (f, bottom), indicating that neuronal activity was acquired only from regions close to the tip of the microelectrode. Recordings in cortex (b,c) and TRN (e,f) were obtained from slices from wild-type and transgenic mice, respectively.
spikes are tightly linked to EEG bursts. Clustered spikes are shown below that. Note that at 3.57 mm from cortex, spikes are not well timed to EEG bursts, whereas at a depth of 1.20 mm, burst-suppression pattern visible in the EEG is typical of isoelectric activity measured from the cortical surface. In each color, the top trace represents EEG signal collected from a screw placed over right frontal cortex. The bottom trace shows spikes recorded from the microelectrode and clustered spikes are shown below that. Note that at 3.57 mm from cortex, spikes are not well timed to EEG bursts, whereas at a depth of 1.20 mm, spikes are tightly linked to EEG bursts.

To illustrate our ability to precisely position the CNTF microelectrodes to record from different areas we used our fluidic microdrive to retract and reinsert the microelectrode. When we retracted the microelectrode, we once again recorded low-amplitude peaks correlated with contractions (Figure 2h,i). After reinsertion, we recorded high-amplitude signals correlated with contractions together with the low-amplitude activity (Figure 2k) independent from contraction. We noted, however, a variation in the waveform of the contraction peaks. This variation in the waveform is likely due to a difference in the Hydra position, which agrees with previous reports that recorded CB waveforms depend on the position of the electrode with respect to the animal body.

Electrophysiology ex vivo in acute sections taken from the mammalian brain using manually positioned glass pipet microelectrodes is a well-established experimental technique. To demonstrate that our fluidic microdrives can also place ultraflexible electrodes at specific locations within a mammalian brain, we recorded neural activity in thalamocortical brain slices of mice (13–21 days old). The ex vivo slice provides the distinct advantage of allowing for the electrode position to be precisely visualized during the experiment, enabling assessment of the placement accuracy of our fluidic actuation technology. To minimize damage to the neural tissue, we etched the ends of 25 μm in diameter CNTF microelectrodes to create a sharp tip (30°) using a FIB mill (SI Figure S6). Using our fluidic microdrives, we successfully inserted microelectrodes into cortex (up to ~1 mm deep, Figure 3a,d) and into specific thalamic nuclei (~4 mm from the cortical surface, Figure 3a,e).

Following microelectrode insertion into cortex (Figure 3b) of brain slices extracted from wild type mice (n = 3 slices, one slice per animal), we were able to detect spontaneous neuronal activity as extracellular action potentials (Figure 3c, top). Our automated spike detection and clustering algorithm isolated spikes with amplitudes around 50–500 μV (Figure 3c, bottom).

Taking advantage of the possibility to precisely position the microelectrode with the fluidic microdrive, we moved the microelectrodes to record spatially confined neuronal activity in the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) of brain slices from transgenic mice. The TRN is a shell-like structure that in our slice preparation had a thickness of 168 ± 62 μm (n = 4 slices). Neurons in the TRN are the target of cholinergic synaptic afferents from the basal forebrain and the brainstem. Previous studies have shown that stimulation of these afferents leads to the fast and reliable activation of both nicotinic and muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs and mAChRs) and the generation of short-latency action potentials specifically in TRN neurons but not in neighboring thalamic nuclei.

To selectively activate cholinergic afferents using optogenetics, we used brain slices obtained from ChAT−ChR2−EYFP transgenic mice (Figure 3 d), which express channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) specifically in cholinergic neurons.

When we positioned the microelectrode at the TRN/internal capsule (IC) boundary (Figure 3e, top), we did not detect neuronal activity in response to optical stimulation (Figure 3f, top). By opening the microfluidic flow control valves for 100
ms intervals, we then gently pushed the microelectrode into the TRN (Figure 3e, middle). In this region, we observed action potential activity approximately 30 ms following laser stimulation (Figure 3f, middle). Because neurons in the TRN do not express ChR2, the activity recorded in this region is the result of a postsynaptic response, and ~30 ms latency is in accordance with the literature.66 Finally, we pushed the microelectrode deeper into the ventrobasal (VB) thalamus below the TRN (Figure 3e, bottom). In this configuration, no responses were recorded by the electrode (Figure 3f, bottom), indicating that electrical activity was only detected by the microelectrode tip. To confirm that laser-evoked activity in the TRN was evoked by the activation of postsynaptic nAChRs, we bath-applied the specific nAChR antagonist dihydro-β-erythroidine hydrobromide (DH/βE), which completely eliminated TRN activity (SI Figure S8). Taken together, these experiments show that our platform can not only insert bare flexible electrodes into the cortex but can also drive these electrodes deeply within the mammalian brain and accurately position them in specific brain regions.

Next, we sought to demonstrate that the fluidic microdrive can be applied to in vivo rodent experiments. Using the microfluidic device, we successfully implanted flexible (12 μm diameter n = 3 animals, and 22 μm diameter n = 3 animals) CNTf microelectrodes to a maximum depth of approximately 4 mm (12 μm diameter, 3.75, 3.39, and 4.17 mm; 22 μm diameter, 4.13, 4.27, and 4.10 mm) into the brain of anesthetized rats (Figure 4a,b, and SI Video M5). Currently, the maximum insertion depth is limited by the total length of the microfluidic channel, which is 10 mm for the devices we used in vivo. By using longer microelectrodes and longer flow channels, the drag forces can be increased and we expect to be able to drive CNTFs to even deeper regions in vivo.

We found no evidence that fluid is injected into the brain during in vivo implantation using fluidic microdrives. Nevertheless, we calculated the ejected fluid volume and found it to be well below the levels reported to be safe for injections into the brain. We measured the input drive fluid to be 14 ± 6 μL (n = 3 animals, 22 μm diameter CNTf), which corresponds to an output volume of only 0.50 ± 0.23 μL, using the 3.5% ejection fraction estimated from our experimental data (see SI Figure S9 and Methods). Thus, the output volume of our microdrive is 3 orders of magnitude smaller than a previously reported safe threshold for rats (up to 100 μL of solution15), greatly minimizing any potential damage associated with an increase in intracranial pressure. When we imaged fluid leaving the microdrive, we found that the dextran drive fluid formed a droplet surrounding the exit port (see SI Figure S9). In a surgical setting, most of this dextran is flushed away by the ambient saline required to keep the brain wet when it is exposed, and any direct dextran contact with the brain is likely quickly diluted and washed away.

To record from the electrodes implanted into anesthetized rats, we retracted the microdrive (Figure 4c) away from the brain following fluidic implantation and used Ag paint (Silver Print II, GC Electronics) to connect the exposed end of the CNTf microelectrode to a recording wire secured to a stereotaxic arm (Figure 4d). By slowly moving the stereotaxic arm up from the brain we retracted the implanted microelectrode and recorded in vivo brain activity from a variety of depths (Figure 4e,f). We implanted two skull screws, one as an electrical reference and a second as a monitor of cortical EEG activity. Under deep isoflurane anesthesia, cortical EEG cycles between periods of isotonic signal known as “suppressions” and spindles of activity known as “bursts.”3 In burst-suppression, cortical firing is tightly linked to bursts, while other brain regions respond differently.67–70 Therefore, the temporal correlation between spike activity and bursts can indicate if the recorded neural activity is from cortical or subcortical regions.

In our recordings, we noted that spiking activity varied with the depth of our probe as measured from the cortical surface. At depths that suggest a subcortical, hippocampal recording, spiking activity is not well linked to bursts detected in the EEG (Figure 4e), whereas at depths consistent with cortex, we observed spike timing tightly linked to bursts (Figure 4f). Figure 4 shows data from 12 μm diameter microelectrodes and recordings looked similar between 12 and 22 μm diameter microelectrodes. To verify that the implanted probe traveled straight down and did not deviate after entering the brain, we implanted a 22 μm microelectrode into an additional rat (n = 1 animal) using the fluidic microdrive. We then perfused the rat and sliced the brain on a cryostat to view the length of the microelectrode within the brain. We observed that the microelectrode traveled a straight trajectory through hippocampus (SI Figure S11).

The fluidic microdrives introduced here enable implantation and actuation of flexible cellular-scale electrodes without using stiffeners or shuttles that would otherwise increase acute damage caused by the larger electrode footprint during implantation. In addition, our devices open up new possibilities for flexible electrodes by allowing precise electrode positioning to target locations within model organisms and the mammalian central nervous system. Compared to syringe injection, our fluidic microdrives nearly eliminate the volume of fluid injected into the brain, thus reducing potential damage from increased intracranial pressure. In addition, our approach requires no needle or delivery vehicle to penetrate the brain, potentially reducing damage to the tissue and blood brain barrier. The size of the electrodes affects the extent of acute hemorrhage and extravasation of blood cells and plasma proteins during the implantation procedure,13,71 which are the main determinants of the severity of the chronic neuroinflammatory response and the degree of neuronal survivability around the implants.72 We expect that the reduced electrode footprint enabled by fluidic microdrives will produce less acute damage, which will in turn lead to an attenuated response at chronic time scales. However, future work is needed to compare the long-term benefits for chronic in vivo recordings of fluidically implanted electrodes compared to conventional approaches. Because our fluidic microdrives are compatible with conventional microfluidic devices, our technology could be integrated with high-throughput microfluidic chips for studying small model organisms like C. elegans providing an on-chip technology to precisely position stimulation and/or recording electrodes into specific regions of the animal. Although additional future work will be necessary to characterize the long-term stability of the insulation layers, our in vitro bending tests and in vivo insertion and recording experiments suggest that the mechanical and electrical stability of the electrodes and the dielectric encapsulation are not affected by the fluidic microdrive insertion strategy.

We envision that fluidic microdrives can be employed for a variety of flexible neural probes and may become the preferred delivery technology. This study focused on CNTFs as model flexible electrode; however, the PDMS replica molding
technique allows us to easily optimize the device design for a variety of probe sizes, materials and geometries.

In order to scale up from one recording channel, flexible, shank-like multichannel probes with footprint similar to CNTfs can be fabricated using Parylene, Polyimide, or SU-8.\textsuperscript{14,18,55} Because the fluid ejected by the device is 3 orders of magnitude below the reported limit for fluid injected into the brain,\textsuperscript{5} and this fluid is likely to be simply dispersed over the brain surface, we expect to be able to insert hundreds of electrodes without any adverse effects of the dextran drive solution. We also envision increasing the number of implanted electrodes by creating microdrives with multiple fluidic channels that can deliver numerous independent multichannel electrodes. By eliminating the need for shuttles and mechanical methods for microactuation, it may also be possible to bring adjacent probes much closer together for high-density neural interfaces. The combination of emerging wireless, flexible, multielectrode probes\textsuperscript{16,17} with high-density fluidic microdrives opens up exciting opportunities for chronic interfaces to large neuronal populations.
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